

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,785	10/30/2003	John D. Conroy JR.	21411-0001-1	8900
26587	7590 05/16/2006		EXAM	INER
MCNEES, WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET			DIXON, ANNET	TE FREDRICKA
P.O. BOX 1166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166			3743	, ,

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,785	CONROY, JOHN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Annette F. Dixon	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13</u> .	<i>July 2005</i> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been	Application No				
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 20-26 and 48-50, drawn to Methods Of Avoiding Hypoxemia And
     Creating A Flight Plan, classified in class 128 and 244.
  - II. Claims 1-19 and 27-47 drawn to Apparatuses For Avoiding Hypoxemia
    And Creating A Flight Plan, classified in class 128 and 244.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatuses for avoiding hypoxemia and creating a flight plan can be used to practice a separate and distinct method. For example, the apparatuses could be used in an ambulance or hospital setting.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Should the Applicant elect the claims directed to Group I: Methods Of Avoiding Hypoxemia And Creating A Flight Plan, Applicant is further required to elect between the following inventions.
- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Art Unit: 3743

I-A. Claims 48-50, drawn to Methods of Flight Planning, classified in class 244, subclass 118.5.

I-B. Claims 20-26, drawn to Methods of Avoiding Hypoxemia, classified in class 128, subclass 204.23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 6. Inventions Group I-A and Group I-B are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the oxygen flight planning parameter does not have to be the patient's pulse oximeter value and could be the oxygen consumption of the person based on height, weight, and lung capacity. The subcombination has separate utility such as the method of avoiding hypoxemia could be practiced in a separate and distinct location such as an ambulance or hospital.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Should the Applicant elect the claims directed to Group II: Apparatuses For Avoiding Hypoxemia And Creating A Flight Plan, Applicant is further required to elect between the following inventions.

Art Unit: 3743

9. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- II-A. Claims 28-47, drawn to Apparatuses for Calculating a Flight Plan, classified in class 244, subclass 118.5.
- II-B. Claims 1-19, drawn to Apparatuses for Avoiding Hypoxemia, classified in class 128, subclass 204.23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 10. Inventions Group II-A and Group II-B are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the oxygen flight planning parameter does not have to be the patient's pulse oximeter value and could be the oxygen consumption of the person based on height, weight, and lung capacity. The subcombination has separate utility such as the apparatus for avoiding hypoxemia could be practiced in a separate and distinct location such as an ambulance or hospital.
- 11. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Should Applicant elected Group II-B, Applicant is required to elect between the following species.

**Art Unit: 3743** 

Claim 12: atmospheric		Claim 13: atmospheric
pressure measured in	<u>OR</u>	pressure measured in units
mean sea level		density altitude

- 13. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.
- 14. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 15. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

  MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 16. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott O'Brian on May 9, 2006, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 17. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 3743

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 18. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 19. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette F. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,785

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AFD

May 9, 2006

Henry Bennett

Page 7

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700